



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

209

**CWP-9890-2000 (O&M)
Date of decision: 20.08.2024**

MUNICIPAL COUNCIL PATHANKOT

....PETITIONER

Vs.

PRESIDING OFFICER LABOUR COURT & ANR ...RESPONDENTS

CWP-15292-2001 (O&M)

RAMESH LAL

....PETITIONER

Vs.

MUNICIPAL COMMITTEE, PATHANKOT AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Ms. Perna Malhotra, Advocate for
Mr. Prateek Mahajan, Advocate
for respondent No. 2 (in CWP-9890-2000) and
for the petitioner (in CWP-15292-2001).

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J (ORAL)

1. By this common order CWP-9890-2000 and CWP-15292-2001 are being disposed of since issues involved in both the petitions and prayer sought are common. With the consent of parties and for the sake of brevity, facts are borrowed from CWP-9890-2000.

2. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of Award dated 16.03.1999 (Annexure P-2) passed by Labour Court, Gurdaspur.

3. By Award dated 16.03.1999, the Labour Court, Gurdaspur ordered to reinstate workman with continuity of service and 50% backwages. The Management has filed writ petition assailing order of reinstatement and backwages and workman has filed cross writ petition seeking full backwages.

4. Ms. Perna Malhotra, Advocate submits that she has no contact with her client.
5. There is no representation on behalf of Management. The impugned Award was passed on 16.03.1999 and its operation was never stayed by this Court. There seems no reason to keep the matter pending. None of the parties seems to be interested to pursue the matter.
6. Dismissed.
7. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

20.08.2024
manoj

[JAGMOHAN BANSAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No