

110

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-5804-2024

Date of decision: 13.03.2024

Khushi (minor) through her father and natural guardian Surender Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

Central Board of Secondary Education and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Manjeet Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to correct the practical award list dated 24.01.2024 (Annexure P-7).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that for the grievances raised by the petitioner, respondent No.3-School in which the petitioner is studying has forwarded the representation/letter dated 08.02.2024 (Annexure P-8) to the competent authority of respondent No.1-Board and the competent authority of respondent No.1-Board has called the Principal of respondent No.3-School for today. It is further submitted that the petitioner would be satisfied at this stage, in case, the competent authority of respondent No.1-Board is directed to consider the said

representation/letter dated 08.02.2024 (Annexure P-8) in a time bound manner and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious, then, to grant necessary relief, in accordance with law.

3. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of with direction to the competent authority of respondent No.1-Board to consider representation/letter dated 08.02.2024 (Annexure P-8), in accordance with law, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious, then, the competent authority would grant necessary relief, in accordance with law and in case, the competent authority is of the opinion that the pleas raised by the petitioner are not meritorious, then, a speaking order rejecting the same be passed within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order.

4. This Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the competent authority of respondent No.1-Board would consider the case of the petitioner independently, in accordance with law.

13.03.2024
Pawan

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
Whether reportable:-	Yes/No