

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

114

CWP-6532-2024
Date of Decision :19.03.2024

Bhim Singh and others ...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sube S. Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, grievance of the petitioners is that they are working with the respondent-department on the post of Optometrist on consolidated salary of Rs.9240/- per month whereas, the petitioners are seeking parity for the grant of pay scale as given to the Ophthalmic Assistants, who are working under the National Health Mission.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that both the posts i.e. Optometrist and Ophthalmic Assistant are same as qualification as well as work profile of both the posts are identical and as per the letter written by the Government of West Bengal, the posts in question are same hence, the benefit of pay as being extended to the employees, who are working on the post of Ophthalmic Assistant be granted to the petitioners, who are working on the post of Optometrist.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners

have already raised the said grievance before the respondents by way of submitting a representation dated 01.12.2023 (Annexure P/17), which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioners will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said representation.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case the representation dated 01.12.2023 (Annexure P/17) has been received from the petitioners and is still pending consideration with the respondents, the same will be decided by the authority concerned in accordance with law and an appropriate order will be passed within a period of 08 weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of the learned counsel for the respondents, present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

7. Ordered accordingly.

March 19, 2024

aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/Now