

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(123)

CWP-5819-2024

Date of Decision : March 13, 2024

Vikas Kumar**.. Petitioner****Versus****State of Haryana and others****.. Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: Mr. Maninder Singh Saini, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Nain, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. In the present writ petition, the grievance of the petitioner is that the father of the petitioner was working with the Education Department, Haryana and had unfortunately died on 04.12.1999 and as the mother of the petitioner was an illiterate, she did not know about the benefits, which are admissible to the family of the deceased employee.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is fully eligible for the grant of compassionate appointment keeping in view the policy which was applicable on 04.12.1999 hence, the respondents are liable to be directed to consider the claim of the petitioner for the grant of compassionate appointment after the death of his father.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the grievance raised in the present petition has already been raised by the

petitioner in the representation dated 10.10.2023 (Annexure P-4) which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied at this stage in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said representation by passing an appropriate speaking order.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

6. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case the representation dated 10.10.2023 (Annexure P-4) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and is still pending consideration, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of copy of this order.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

8. Ordered accordingly.

March 13, 2024

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No