

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-7283-2024

Date of decision: 01.04.2024

Sonia Goswami

....Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Shahari Vikas Pradhikaran (HSVP) and others Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL**

Present: Mr. R.S. Bains, Senior Advocate, with
Ms. Aarushi Garg, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has prayed for the following substantive relief:-

*“Civil Writ petition under article 226/227
praying for issuance of the writ in the nature of
mandamus directing the respondents to transfer Plot
No.124-P, Sector 40, Panipat/enter the name of the
petitioner in the record regarding above mentioned
plot which has been purchased by the petitioner
through registered sale deed in accordance with law
but despite fulfilling all the conditions the respondents
are not updating their record/transferring the above
mentioned plot in the name of petitioner without any
justified cause.*

AND

*It is further prayed that direction may kindly be
issued to the respondent to waive off amount of Rs.
82,190/- imposed as penalty for non-construction on
the said plot as the petitioner could not start the
construction on account of the fact that site plan
cannot be sanctioned unless and until the name of the
petitioner as owner is not entered in the record
maintained by the respondents.”*

Learned Senior counsel for the petitioner submits that prior to the institution of this petition, the petitioner, qua her concerns/grievances, had even served the respondent authorities with representations/reminders dated 25.03.2022 (P-7), 22.11.2022 (P-12), 24.11.2022 (P-13) and 08.12.2022 (P-15), but to no avail. And, as a result, interest/rights of the petitioner are severely impacted.

Served with the advance copy of the petition, Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate, for the respondent-HSVP is present in Court. At the outset, he, on instructions, submits, for claim of the petitioner is under active consideration of the competent authority (Administrator, Rohtak), the necessary orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed within a week from today. And the site/plot in question shall be transferred in the name of the petitioner.

That being so, learned Senior counsel for the petitioner submits that let this petition be disposed of, in terms of the statement made by learned counsel for the respondent-HSVP.

The petition is accordingly disposed of, in terms of the statements made by learned counsel for the parties.

This Court is sanguine that the authorities shall look into the matter in the right earnest. And the appropriate orders shall be passed within the time indicated by the learned counsel for the respondent-HSVP.

Needless to assert that this order shall not constitute any expression of opinion on the merits of the case of either party, for, as indicated above, the competent authority shall examine the concerns/grievances of the petitioner, strictly in accordance with law.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

01.04.2024
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	Yes/No