

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

135

CWP-6006-2024
Date of Decision: 14.03.2024

Vivek Kumar Thakur ...Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Jitender Singh Dadwal, Advocate for the petitioner
Ms. Anita Balyan, Senior Panel Counsel
for Union of India-respondents

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of order dated 26.02.2024 (Annexure P-1) and movement order dated 29.02.2024 (Annexure P-2) whereby he has been transferred from Jalandhar to Pathankot.
2. Learned counsel for the respondents, at the outset, submits that case of the petitioner is under consideration and Inspector General, B.S.F., would pass an appropriate order considering representation of the petitioner. Till then, the petitioner would not be asked to leave present place of posting.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner agrees to the aforesaid arrangement.
4. In the wake of statement of both sides, the present petition stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

14.03.2024
Mohit Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No