

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

207

CRM-M-12538-2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision:05.04.2024

PARAMJIT GIR

.....Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Mayur Karkra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab

DEEPAK GUPTA, J.(ORAL)

On 12.03.2024, following order was passed:

“By way of present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C., petitioner prays for pre-arrest bail in a case arising out of FIR No.290 dated 14.12.2021, under Sections 323, 341, 506, 148 and 149 of IPC (Section 379-B IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Sadar, District Patiala.

At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that co-accused, namely, Gurmail Gir has already been granted the benefit of anticipatory bail by this Court vide orders dated 15.11.2022. Learned counsel contends further the co-accused Jamna Devi and Ajaib Gir have also been granted the benefit of pre-arrest bail by this Court vide order dated 05.03.2024 passed in CRM-M-11636-2024 and order dated 28.02.2024 in CRM-M-10504-2024 respectively. Learned counsel submits that the only allegation against the petitioner is that the mobile snatched by co-accused Gurdeep Gir, was handed over to the petitioner. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner is ready to join investigation.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. A copy of paper book be supplied to him during the course of day.

Adjourned to 05.04.2024 for filing status report.

To be heard alongwith CRM-M-10504-2024.

In the meantime, petitioner is directed to join investigation and co-operate in the same. He will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court nor shall make any attempt to contact the complainant of the case or any witness associated with the case. In the event of the arrest of the petitioner, he shall be released on interim bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. He shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. ”

Today learned State counsel, on instructions submits that petitioner has since joined the investigation and is not required for custodial interrogation.

Having regard to the aforesaid facts and circumstances, but without commenting anything further on the merits of the case order dated 12.03.2024 is hereby made absolute, whereby petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail.

However, the petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so by the Investigating Agency and shall abide by the conditions of Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

05.04.2024
pry

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether Reportable Yes/No