



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

206

CWP-9605-2000 (O&M)
Date of decision: 27.11.2024

M/S MODISTONE LIMITED

...PETITIONER

Vs.

PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, FARIDABAD AND
ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Pritam Singh Saini, Advocate and
Ms. Vamika Johar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J (ORAL)

1. On 24.10.2024, the following order was passed:

“The impugned Award was stayed vide order dated 27.07.2000. The petition was admitted on 13.02.2001. This Court has stayed back wages and not re-instatement. Mr. Pritam Singh Saini, Advocate shall confirm status of workman on the adjourned date.

As per office report, notice was sent to respondent No. 2 and it has been received back duly served. However, there is no representation on behalf of respondent No. 2.

In the interest of justice, adjourned to 27.11.2024.”

2. There is no representation on behalf of respondent No. 2 and Mr. Pritam Singh Saini, Advocate also submits that he has no instructions from his client.

CWP-9605-2000 (O&M)

-2-

3. In the backdrop, dismissed with liberty to move an appropriate application, if cause survives.
4. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

27.11.2024
manoj

[JAGMOHAN BANSAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No