



In the meantime, the petitioners are directed to appear before the Investigating/Arresting Officer to join investigation within one week or as and when subsequently required thereafter. In the event of their arrest, the Investigating/Arresting Officer shall release the petitioners on interim bail on furnishing personal/surety bonds to his/her satisfaction. The petitioners shall also abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

3. Learned counsel for respondent No.2 vehemently opposes the prayer made by the petitioners on the ground that amount of Rs.10,00,000/-, which was admittedly, transferred in the account of petitioner No.1, has not been returned.
4. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Nilam, submits that in compliance of order dated 19.03.2024 passed by this Court, the petitioners have joined the investigation and are not required for further custodial interrogation.
5. In view of the ratio of law laid down by the Hon’ble Apex Court in ***Dalip Singh vs. State of M.P. (2021) 2 SCC 779***, the anticipatory bail granted to the petitioner(s) cannot be converted into recovery proceedings.
6. Keeping in view the statement made by learned State Counsel the order dated 19.03.2024, is made absolute. The petitioners shall abide by the terms and conditions enumerated in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.
7. The petition is accordingly disposed of.
8. However, nothing observed hereinabove shall be construed to be an expression of opinion by this Court lest it may prejudice the trial. The learned trial Court is directed to proceed with the trial on its own merits, strictly in accordance with law.

July 23, 2024
manisha

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

(i)	Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
(ii)	Whether reportable	Yes/No