

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.13317 of 2024

Date of decision: 15<sup>th</sup> March, 2024

Harjinder Singh & another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & others

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL**

Present: Mr. Nakul Sharma, Advocates for the petitioners.

**MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)**

1. The prayer in the instant petition is for issuance of appropriate direction to the official respondents to look into the complaints dated 19.12.2023 (Annexure P-3) and dated 17.01.2024 (Annexure P-4) made by the petitioner and to take legal action against the private respondents.

2. Learned counsel submits that vide complaint (Annexure P-3), respondent No.2 – Senior Superintendent of Police, District Ferozepur had been duly intimated about the fraud committed upon the petitioners at the hands of private respondents. However, the authorities concerned had failed to initiate any action against the offenders. Learned counsel contends that despite respondent No.2 having been apprised about the commission of cognizable offence by the accused persons, the official respondents had failed to proceed against them under the provisions of law. It has been submitted that the official

respondents were under a legal obligation to pass an appropriate order on his representation.

3. Notice of motion to the official respondents.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Amit Rana, Sr. Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the said respondents.

5. In the wake of the limited prayer of the petitioners, the petition is disposed of and respondent No.2 i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police, District Ferozepur is directed to look into their grievance and take appropriate steps, if any required, under the provisions of law. However, if respondent No.2 does not find the commission of any cognizable offence as alleged or any substance in the allegations levelled in the complaint dated 19.12.2023 (Annexure P-3) then the petitioners shall be duly informed as per the parameters laid down by the Supreme Court in *Lalita Kumari v. Government of Uttar Pradesh & Ors.* 2013 (4) RCR (Criminal) 979.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)  
JUDGE

March 15, 2024  
rps

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | Yes/No |