



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-12723-2024
Date of decision: 07.08.2024

JAGSEER SINGHPETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB ...RESPONDENT

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: - Mr. Janak Singh Bhinder, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Adesh Pal Singh, AAG, Punjab.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, petitioner seek anticipatory bail in case FIR (Annexure P-1) as under:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
215	18.11.2023	379 of IPC	City Sangrur, District Sangrur.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance to the order dated 12.03.2024 passed by this Court, the petitioner has already joined the investigation and as such prayed for confirming the interim bail granted vide order dated 12.03.2024.
3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from HC Paramveer Singh, P.S. City, Sangrur, intimates the Court that the petitioner has joined investigation and is neither required for further investigation nor for any custodial investigation.
4. During the course of hearing on 12.03.2024, following order was passed:



“The present petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in case having FIR No.215, dated 18.11.2023, under Section 379 of IPC, at Police Station, City Sangrur, District Sangrur.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that FIR with regard to theft of car belonging to complainant was registered against unknown persons and as per police version, 2 persons were arrested by the police during investigation and there are allegations that the name of petitioner has surfaced in the disclosure made by said 2 persons. Counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner has nothing to do with the car in question and that the petitioner who is having no criminal history is ready join with the investigation with the police.

Notice of motion

Mr. J.S. Dhaliwal, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the State and on instructions from ASI Hakam Singh has not disputed the fact that FIR was registered against unknown persons regarding theft of car of complainant. The State counsel further submits that the name of the petitioner has appeared in disclosure made by co-accused Soni Khan and Vikramjit Singh who have been arrested by the police during investigation of the case. The State counsel has not disputed the fact that the petitioner is having no criminal antecedents.

In view of above, the petitioner is hereby directed to join the investigation with the police and in case of arrest, he is to be released on interim bail by the investigating officer/arresting officer to his own satisfaction till the next date fixed in this case. The petitioner is also to abide by the conditions envisaged under Section 438 (2) of Cr.P.C.

Now be listed on 27.05.2024. ”

5. Keeping in view the above submissions made by learned State



CRM-M-12723-2024

3

counsel and the fact that the petitioner had joined the investigation consequent to the order dated 12.03.2024 passed by this Court, interim bail granted vide order dated 12.03.2024 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. Further the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; he will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

6. The petition stands allowed.

7. It is made clear that anything contained hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

07.08.2024

kanika

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |