

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

105

Date of Decision.:14.03.2024

Petitioner

Respondent

Present: Mr. Rahul Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

2. The allegations are that on the night intervening 26-27.01.2024 at about 12:10 a.m. the petitioners entered the house of complainant Tushar, where Vinod and Ankush son of Multan were also present. It is alleged that petitioner Rajbir assaulted Tushar. Petitioner Ankush gave gandasi blows on his arms. When mother and paternal aunt of the complainant Tushar intervened, the assailants caused injuries to him and extended threats. The assailants were armed with swords and gandas.

3. Learned counsel contends that Ankush son of Multan, who is stated to be one of the injured, by way of his affidavit dated 09.02.2024 and another affidavit dated 31.01.2024 (Annexure P-3 & P- 2 respectively) had stated that petitioners were not amongst the assailants.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Parveen Kumar Aggarwal, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent- State.
6. As it appears that affidavits Annexure P-2 & P-3 have been procured from one of the injured Ankush son of Multan. The persual of the FIR would reveal that FIR has been lodged by Tushar, who is also one of the injured. The occurrence took place on the night intervening 26-27.01.2024. All petitioners are specifically named as assailants in the FIR.
7. After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court does not find the present case to be fit for grant of anticipatory bail.

Dismissed.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

March 14, 2024
Neetika Tuteja

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No