366 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-5815-2001
Date of decision: 04.09.2024

SATWINDER KAUR ...PETITIONER

VERSUS

P.S.E.B. AND ORS. ...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: None for the petitioner.

Dr. Sukant Gupta, Advocate
for respondent Nos.1 to 3.

****

NAMIT KUMAR ,J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition has been filed by the petitioner under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of mandamus, for directing the respondents to consider and promote the petitioner to the post of LDC from the date her juniors were promoted.

2. There was no representation on behalf of the petitioner on the last date of hearing i.e. 01.08.2024 and consequently, notice was ordered to be issued to the petitioner and learned counsel for respondent Nos.1 to 3 sought adjournment to get instructions about the status of the petitioner and the private respondents.

3. Learned counsel for respondent Nos.1 to 3 submits that during the pendency of the present petition, the petitioner has been promoted to the post of Sub Station Attendant and has retired as such on 30.04.2021 and probably for that reason, he is not interested to pursue the present petition.

4. In this view of the matter, the present petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

04.09.2024 **(NAMIT KUMAR)**
renubala **JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No

Renu Bala
2024.09.05 11:47
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document