

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-15295-2021
DECIDED ON: 22.04.2024

PANKAJ GARGPETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERSRESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL.

Present: Mr. Priyanshu Kamra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Jaspal Singh Guru, AAG, Punjab.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Section 482 Cr.P.C., for issuance of directions to the respondent No.2 to initiate disciplinary action against respondents No. 3 to 5. It is further prayed for issuance of a direction for re-inquiry/re-investigation of DDR No.29 dated 06.04.2019 (Annexure P-2) by an independent investigating agency or to any other agency or by constituting Special Investigation Team (SIT) headed by higher police officials for fair and proper investigation.

The State was called upon to file the status report/reply vide order of this Court dated 08.04.2021 and in compliance thereof, short reply dated 23.07.2021 by way of an affidavit of Gurmeet Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub-Division Mansa, District Mansa was filed on behalf of respondents No. 1 and 2 wherein it is stated in para 3 that preventive action under Section 107/151 Cr.P.C. was brought against the petitioner and his father, namely, Birbal Das.

Further in continuation thereof, it is also stated in para 4 of the said status report that the petitioner has levelled false allegations against the answering respondents to the effect that police has not taken action upon the application dated 12.04.2019 wherein as per the police record, the said application was received from

the police officials of Police Station City-1 Mansa on 17.04.2019 and from the enquiry of the said application and in view of the statements of the parties, facts and circumstances of the case, it was concluded that no action is required to be taken by the police on the said application and the same was filed.

In the light of the above, nothing survives in the instant petition and the same is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

However, petitioner would be at liberty to get revived the instant petition in case the aforesaid submissions made by the State on the said application are found to be incorrect.

22.04.2024

Anjal

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No