

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

296-2

CRM-M-12480-2024

Date of decision: 09.04.2024

BALRAJ SINGH

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. V.K. Kaushal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Akshay Kumar, AAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI. J.(Oral)

1. On the last date of hearing i.e on 12.03.2024, the following order was
passed:-

"1. Through the instant petition, as instituted under Section 438 of the Cr.P.C., the petitioner has sought the concession of anticipatory bail, in case FIR No.07 dated 26.01.2024, under Sections 420, 120-B of the IPC, registered at P.S. Kathu Nangal, District Amritsar Rural.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, though the FIR (supra) carries allegations qua the complainant being duped of ₹5,00,000/- by the petitioner and his co-accused, on the pretext of getting him a job in Police Department, however, neither there occurred any monetary transactions inter se the complainant and the petitioner, nor the petitioner has any concern or connection with the complainant. Moreover, the investigating agency is also not seized of any material, which may even remotely suggest that the petitioner has received any money from the complainant.

3. *Notice of motion for 09.04.2024.*

4. *Mr. Pardeep Bajaj, D.A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.*

5. *In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and to appear before the investigating agency, as and when called upon to do so. In the event of his arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.*

6. *To be heard with CRM-M-9716-2024."*

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in pursuance of the order dated 12.03.2024, the petitioner had joined the investigation.
3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Sulakhan Ram, submits that the petitioner had joined the investigation and he is not required for any further custodial interrogation.
4. In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, the interim order dated 12.03.2024, is hereby made absolute.

09.04.2024
amandeep

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No

Whether Reportable. : Yes/No