



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

201

CRM-M-20287-2016

Date of Decision : **August 07, 2024**

SATINDERPAL SINGH

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Ghulam Nabi Malik , Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Raghav Garg, AAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)

1. Through the instant petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C., prayer is made for directing the respondents to transfer the investigation of FIR No. 10 dated 31.1.2016, under Sections 307, 34 IPC and under Section 25 of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station Dugri, District Ludhiana City to an independent agency.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that infact the version of FIR (supra) has been changed by the police official in connivence with the accused persons as it was the positive case of the petitioner that the accused persons entered into the house of complainant and opened the fire. However, that was a misfire and thereupon, they called the police and one of the accused was apprehended on the spot, however, at the same day in the evening when the FIR was registered, which is shown to have been registered



CRM-M-20287-2016

-2-

on the basis of a secret information instead of citing the petitioner as a complainant. Even the version of the complaint has been changed.

3. Learned State counsel on the other hand informs this Court that much water has flown, as this petition is pending since 2016 and in the present case after completion of investigation, the final report has been filed before the learned trial Court concerned against respondents No.4 & 5. He further submits that on account of stay granted by this Court, the trial has not proceeded further.

4. This Court has considered the submissions made by the learned counsel for the parties concerned and has gone through the entire record.

5. Since the matter is pending since 2016 and the final report has already been filed before the learned trial Court concerned, therefore, at this stage, this Court cannot accept the prayer for transfer of investigation which has already been concluded. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner either to file a private complaint or file an appropriate motion before the learned trial Court concerned for redressal of his grievance, if any, since survive.

6. In view of the above, the instant petition is **disposed** of with aforesaid liberty.

August 07, 2024
ajay-1

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No