

PREM CHAND THROUGH HIS SON SUSHIL KUMAR V/S TARA CHAND AND ORS

Present:- Mr. Nitin Kumar Sharma, Advocate
for the applicant-appellant(s).

Mr. Ashish Bishnoi, DAG, Haryana.
Mr. Shivam Chaudhary, Advocate
for respondent nos.1 & 2.

Counsel appearing for the applicant submits that the present application was filed against acquittal in the year 2018 and he has a very good case on merit.

On the contrary, respondent’s counsel submits that the judgment of acquittal is well reasoned and leave to appeal should not be granted. He further submits that in case, this Court grants leave to appeal and admit the main appeal, its turn will not come for hearing for decades, as such pendency of the appeal may be treated in-consequential for respondent no.2.

Leave to appeal is granted.

Counsel for the respondents no.1 and 2 submits that they be exempted from furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds before the concerned trial Court or Chief Judicial Magistrate. They undertake to surrender before majesty of the Court as and when this Court directs to do so or in case of reversal of the judgment.

Given such undertaking, there shall be no need to furnish bail bonds/surety bonds by the respondents no.1 and 2.

The applicant need not furnish personal bonds.

Main appeal

Admit.

List on its own turn as per queue following the roster.

It is clarified that pendency of this appeal shall be in-consequential for any purpose.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

September 11, 2024
AK