

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-5701-2001 (O&M)
Date of decision: 03.04.2024

Labh Singh
.....Petitioner
Versus
State of Punjab and another
.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: None for the petitioner.
Ms. Arundhati Kulshreshtha, AAG, Punjab.
Ms. Ayushi Sharma, Advocate for respondent No.2.

NAMIT KUMAR J. (Oral)

No one has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner on
09.05.2001, 24.05.2001, 26.09.2001, 21.02.2024 and 28.02.2024.

Since there was no representation on behalf of the
petitioner, therefore, vide order dated 28.02.2024, the Registry was
directed to issue notice to the petitioner.

As per the office report, notice issued to the petitioner has
been received back unserved with the report “not residing at the given
address.”.

Challenge in the present petition is to the order dated
16.04.2001 whereby the petitioner was placed under suspension.

In the written statement filed by the respondents, it has
been stated that the petitioner was arrested by the police on 20.04.1999
in FIR No.36 dated 19.09.1999, registered under Section 420 IPC at

Police Station Dhaka, District Jagraon and he was released on 22.04.1999 and on account of registration of the said FIR, the petitioner was placed under suspension.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 submits that the petitioner has attained the age of superannuation on 31.03.2004 and he stood retired from service and has also been paid his gratuity. She further submits that the petitioner was acquitted in the criminal case in the year 2007.

Since the petitioner has already retired from service on attaining the age of superannuation on 31.03.2004, therefore, the relief claimed in the present petition has become infructuous.

Disposed of accordingly.

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

03.04.2024
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No