

2024:PHHC:128291



**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH.**

229

**CWP-6600-2022 (O&M).
Date of Decision: 26.09.2024.**

SANTOSH SOOD AND ANOTHER

... Petitioners

Versus

STATE BANK OF INDIA AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present: Mr. Naresh Jain, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sumit Narang, Advocate,
for the respondents/Bank.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the present petition was for directing the respondents to trace the record of the Fixed Deposit Receipts i.e. FDR No.508246 for an amount of Rs.55,730/- dated 07.09.1994; FDR No.297201 for an amount of Rs.11,028/- dated 30.05.1995; FDR No.297202 for an amount of Rs.33,114/- dated 30.05.1995; FDR No.297204 for an amount of

2024:PHHC:128291



Rs.1,42,567/- dated 30.05.1995 (Annexures P-1 to P-5) belonging to the husband of the petitioner No.1 who had expired on 25.06.1995.

2 Learned counsel appearing for the respondents/Bank has handed over today in the Court the account statement as well as demands drafts of Rs.6,62,176.81 and 1,78,883.54 pertaining to the above said FDRs in the names of petitioner No.1 as well as petitioner No.2.

3 In so far as the request of the petitioners for being permitted them to operate the locker is concerned, it is informed by the counsel for the respondents/Bank that in the absence of succession certificate regarding the same in favour of the petitioners to operate the locker, the needful cannot be done and in the event of petitioners submitting the requisite succession certificate in the concerned Branch, the operation of the locker shall be permitted.

4 A detailed calculation sheet regarding the interest that has accrued on the amount has also been supplied to the counsel for the petitioners who prays for some time to go through the same, however, since the substantive grievance of the petitioners already stands redressed and calculation of the interest has already been received by them, no purpose would be served by keeping the present petition pending and the same is therefore, disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

5 In the event of any subsisting claim on account of difference/discrepancy in the rate of interest as awarded, the petitioners

2024:PHHC:128291



shall be at liberty to take recourse to the alternative remedies available to them, if so advised, in accordance with law.

September 26, 2024.
raj arora

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No