



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

307

CRM-M-12642-2024

Date of decision: 13.05.2024

Resham Singh alias Resham Bajaj and another .....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another .....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL**

Present : Mr. Vrishank Suri, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Ms. Trishanjali Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Arman Goyal, Advocate  
for respondent No.2.

\*\*\*\*\*

**MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)**

1. The instant petition is for quashing of FIR No.1016 dated 17.10.2013 under Sections 148, 149, 285, 323, 324, 325, 354, 427, 452, 506 of the IPC registered at Police Station City Karnal, District Karnal (charges framed under Sections 323, 427, 452, 506, 325 read with Section 34 of the IPC) and all consequential proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of compromise dated 15.02.2024 (Annexure P-2) arrived at, between the parties.

2. Vide order dated 13.03.2024 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate on 10.04.2024 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned Judicial



Magistrate Ist Class, Karnal, in pursuance of the directions of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that he would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The Trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, alongwith its report.

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and respondent No.2 is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

6. In view of the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Karnal and the principles laid down by the Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed qua petitioners.

7. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

13.05.2024

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)  
JUDGE

Vinay

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

:

Yes/No

:

Yes/No