

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

\*\*\*\*

209

CRM-16722-2024 in/and  
CRM-M-12581-2024  
Date of Decision.:03.05.2024

Aurangzeb @ Sameer  
Vs.  
State of Haryana

.....Petitioner  
.....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Rajesh Lamba, Advocate  
for the petitioner.  
  
Mr. Randhir Singh, Addl. AG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

CRM-16722-2024:

By way of this application filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C.,  
prayer is made to prepone the main case from 14.05.2024 to some early date  
as the marriage of the petitioner is fixed for 10.05.2024.

2. Although 14.05.2024, the earlier adjourned date is not far away  
but considering the reasons mentioned in the application, same is allowed.
3. Main case i.e. CRM-M-12581-2024 is preponed for today.

CRM-M-12581-2024:

4. The petitioner is seeking regular bail by way of petition filed  
under Section 439 Cr.P.C in case FIR No.780 dated 25.10.2023 registered

under Sections 148, 149, 323, 341, 379-B, 427, 506 of the IPC registered at Police Station Kundli, District Sonapat.

5. Allegations are that on 24.10.2023 at about 8 p.m., complainant was driving a bus bearing registration No.UP-15FT-3183, when he was overtaken by another bus bearing registration No.UP-22T-8975 being driven by Aagaj, besides a Wagnor car and an Innova car. About 10-15 persons armed with lathis and dandas alighted from these vehicles, broke the glasses of the bus being driven by the complainant and caused injuries to conductor and the driver. It is further alleged that they also gave injuries on passenger Tinku and took away ₹2,200/- from his pocket.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that petitioner has been falsely implicated; that there is no specific attribution to him; that he is not named in the FIR; that he is in custody for the last more than 04 months; and that the trial may take time to conclude; similarly placed co-accused Mohd. Imran has already been allowed bail by this Court vide order dated 10.04.2024 passed in CRM-M-16603-2024 (Annexure A-2) and so, he be allowed bail.

7. Learned State counsel has placed on record custody certificate, revealing that petitioner is in custody for the last 04 months and 14 days. He has no other criminal case pending against him.

8. Learned State counsel concedes the fact that there is no specific attribution to the petitioner.

9. Having regard to the above facts and circumstances, particularly

CRM-16722-2024 in/and  
CRM-M-12581-2024

on the basis of parity, but without commenting anything further on the merits of the case, this petition is allowed and petitioner is admitted to bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Learned Trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned, on usual terms and conditions.

( DEEPAK GUPTA )  
JUDGE

May 03, 2024  
Neetika Tuteja

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether Speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether Reportable        | Yes/No |