



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

211

CWP-9197-2000(O&M)
Date of Decision: 20.08.2024

NAGINA RAM

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG, Haryana

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed, *inter alia*, seeking a writ of *mandamus* directing the respondents to give the benefit under Rule 7(1) of the Haryana Civil Services (Revised Scales of Pay) Rules, 1980, to the petitioner by refixing his pay after giving benefit of following day increment with effect from 02.04.1979.

2. Learned State counsel, by referring to the written statement, contends that the benefit is not admissible under the Rules by counting ad-hoc service. The petitioner was regularised in service with effect from 01.01.1980, and all due retiral benefits were released to him. Prior thereto, he was working on ad-hoc/six months basis with effect from 18.11.1975, and no increment was payable during that period. Consequently, the benefits of Rule 7 cannot be claimed by him for drawing increment on the appointed date in terms therewith.



3. There is no denial to the aforementioned stand taken by the respondents. In view thereof, this Court is of the considered opinion that there is no merit in the petition, and it stands dismissed.
4. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand(s) disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

20.08.2024
Ad

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>