

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

275

CRM-M-13025-2023

Date of Decision: 18.01.2024

Mamta @ Mamta Dhingra and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR****Present:** Mr. Binat Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Vikas Bhardwaj, AAG, Haryana.

Ms. Pratibha Kumari, Advocate for

Mr. Vishal Aggarwal, Advocate for respondent No. 2.

\*\*\*\*

**HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (Oral)**

1. This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No. 273 dated 03.11.2022 registered under Sections 406, 420, 506, 120-B, 384 (added later on) at Police Station Sector 9, Ambala City, District Ambala and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise (Annexure P-2).

2. The FIR has been registered on the statement of complainant-Ved Parkash Dhingra- respondent No. 2 on the allegations that petitioner No. 1 is married to son of complainant. Petitioner No. 1 had filed FIR No. 29 dated 19.09.2022 under sections 498-A, 323, 406, 506 IPC against respondent No. 2 and his family on the pretext of dowry harassment. The instant FIR No. 273 dated 03.11.2022 under Sections 406, 420, 506, 120-B registered at Police Station Sector 9, Ambala City was lodged as a counter blast to the above mentioned FIR. It was alleged that petitioner No. 1 was

putting pressure on the son of respondent No. 2 to take her to Canada along with her brother and family. When respondent No. 2 spoke to the family of petitioner No. 1, he was threatened that his whole family will be locked up in jail. Petitioner No. 1 has extorted lacs of rupees from the family of respondent No. 2 and conspired with them to take possession of the house of respondent No. 2 in his absence. Now with the intervention of respectable persons, the matter has been amicably compromised between the parties and they have resolved their disputes and differences.

3. Keeping in view the fact that the parties have entered into a compromise, they were directed to appear before the trial court/Illaq Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. In pursuance of the direction, a report has been received from Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Ambala stating that the compromise arrived at between the parties is without any pressure or coercion from any one and the same is genuine one.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from the Investigating Officer and learned counsel for respondent No. 2 admitted the factum of compromise and submitted that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, the State would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR, in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record with their able assistance.

6. The parties have arrived at a compromise and settled their dispute. A compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both

prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak. A two Judge Bench of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63***, speaking through Justice V.R. Krishna Iyer, has held as under:-

*“4. ....The finest hour of justice arrives propitiously when parties, despite falling apart, bury the hatchet and weave a sense of fellowship or reunion.....”*

7. Consequently, keeping in view the fact that the dispute has been amicably settled and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466** and **Ramgopal and another Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh 2021 SCC OnLine SC 834** and Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (Crl.) 1052**, this petition is allowed and FIR No. 273 dated 03.11.2022 registered under Sections 406, 420, 506, 120-B, 384 (added later on) at Police Station Sector 9, Ambala City, District Ambala and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed qua the petitioners only.

**(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)**  
**JUDGE**

**18.01.2024**

Rajeev (rvs)

Whether speaking/reasoned  
Whether reportable

Yes/No  
Yes/No