

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

104

**2024:PHHC:039893
CWP No. 5611 of 2024
Date of Decision:20.03.2024**

Hardip Kaur

....Petitioner

VS.

Union of India and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Amit Dhawan, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. Ashutosh Dhankar, Advocate
for the Union of India

Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226 read with Article 227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondents No. 1 and 2 to issue her passport.
2. Mr. Amit Dhawan, Advocate, *inter alia* contends that petitioner applied for passport on 01.09.2023 still her application is pending. She has no criminal antecedents.
3. Mr. Ashutosh Dhankar, Advocate, at the outset, submits that petitioner is already possessing passport, thus, fresh passport cannot be issued.

4. Faced with this, Mr. Amit Dhawan, Advocate, submits that he is unaware of passport claimed to be issued by respondents, thus, they may be directed to supply him details of the passport.
5. Mr. Ashutosh Dhankar, Advocate, submits that competent authority would supply details of the passport to the petitioner within two weeks from today.
6. In the wake of statement of counsel for Union of India, the petition stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

20.03.2024
paramjit

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes	
Whether reportable:		No