

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

215 CRM-M-12828 of 2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 23.04.2024

Sirsendu Chakraborty and others Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Mukul Goyal, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. G.S. Dhillon, AAG, Haryana.
Mr. Ankur Lal, Advocate
for respondent No.2/complainant.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

The instant petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C., has been filed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in FIR No.0121 dated 05.09.2023, under Sections 420 of the IPC, 1860 and Section 66-D of Information Technology Amendment Act, registered at Cyber Crime Police Station, District Gurugram.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that as the petitioners are residents of Calcutta, so it became very difficult for them to travel all the way from Calcutta and on that account, could not join the investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from Inspector Savit Kumar, submits that in compliance of the order dated 15.04.2024, the petitioners have not joined the investigation.

This Court is shocked to believe on behalf of the accused persons who firstly approached this Court for seeking anticipatory bail but later on when the same was granted vide order dated 15.04.2024 and is now trying to misuse the said order under the premise that their permanent residence is of Calcutta and cannot be able to travel from Calcutta to Gurgaon to join the investigation.

In the light of the above, this Court is left with no option but to dismiss the present petition.

Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed.

23.04.2024

D.Bansal

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No