

CWP-6011-2024

Date of Decision:16.04.2024

NISHAN SINGH

..... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : None for the petitioner.

 Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondents to allocate him District Police Cadre instead of Armed Police Cadre.
2. The petitioner has been assigned Armed Police Cadre whereas he claims that he should be assigned District Police Cadre. Concededly, petitioner in his option form gave first preference to Armed Police Cadre and accordingly, he was assigned Armed Police Cadre.
3. Despite being called twice, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. It appears that in view of aforesaid conceded facts, petitioner has lost his interest to pursue the matter.
4. Dismissed for non-prosecution.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

16.04.2024
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>