

115

2024:PHHC:033981

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-2227-2024

Date of Decision: March 11, 2024

SUDHIR SHARMA

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

.....Petitioner

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Rahul Garg, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Athar Ahmed, DAG, Punjab.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (ORAL)

1. By way of present petition filed under Articles 226/227 of Constitution of India for issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus for directing respondent No.2 to protect life and liberty of petitioner at the hands of respondents No.4 and 5.
2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that instead of pressing the present petition on merits, his client would be satisfied in case direction is issued to the respondents No.1 to 3 to decide the representation (Annexure P-1) moved at the instance of petitioner by passing a speaking order expeditiously.
3. Prayer seems to be justified.
4. Upon advance notice, Mr. Athar Ahmed, DAG, Punjab appears on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 and raises no objection to the innocuous prayer made on behalf of the petitioner.
5. In view of the agreed stand taken by both sides, but without going into the merits of the controversy, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Commissioner of Police, Amritsar

to look into the representation (Annexure P-1) and take appropriate action in accordance with law by passing a speaking order preferably within a period of 04 (four) weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

6. The aforesaid direction shall not be treated as an expression of opinion on the merits of the averments made in the representation.

11.03.2024

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

Tejwinder

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>

