

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-5116-2001 (O&M)
Date of decision: 03.04.2024**

Charanjit Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

District Manager, MARKFED, Gurdaspur & Ors.

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. Rajender Kumar, Advocate
for Mr. D.K. Kaushal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Arundhati Kulshreshtha, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Vikas Singh, Advocate for respondents – MARKFED.

NAMIT KUMAR J. (Oral)

On the last date of hearing, the following order was
passed:-

*“The present petition was admitted on 05.04.2001,
and now it has been listed for final hearing.*

*The petitioner has filed the present petition seeking a
writ of mandamus for directing the respondents to pay 75
% of the subsistence allowance, after expiry of the period
of six months from the date of suspension, pending
departmental enquiry.*

*Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he
has no instructions from the petitioner.*

*Since, there is no representation on behalf of the
respondents MARKFED, therefore, on the asking of the
Court, Mr. Vikas Singh, Advocate, who is on the panel of
MARKFED, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents,
and he seeks time to get instructions in the matter.*

Adjourned to 03.04.2024 for further consideration.

*Learned counsel for the parties may submit brief
synopsis of the case.*

Since, this case pertains to the year, 2001, it is made clear that no further adjournment shall be granted on the next date of hearing.”

Mr. Vikas Singh, Advocate, learned counsel for the respondents – MARKFED submits that vide order dated 28.12.2006, punishment of recovery of Rs.40,85,610/- was passed against the petitioner and one Sh. Saudagar Singh, in equal share, and 04 annual increments, with cumulative effect was awarded. On an appeal filed by the petitioner, the said punishment order was modified to that of downgrading to four stages, however, recovery remained intact. He further submits that thereafter, on 08.07.2008, the petitioner has resigned from service.

In view of the above, nothing survives in the present petition and the same is disposed of accordingly.

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

03.04.2024
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No