



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

235

CWP-7187-2021

Date of decision : 25.09.2024

Jag Prasad Khanal and others

....Petitioners

V/S

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. Anil Rana, Advocate for
Mr. Puneet Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Teevar Sharma, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Parambir Singh, Advocate
for respondents No.2 to 4.

Mr. Mrigank Sharma, Advocate
for respondent No.5.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners have filed the instant writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ of certiorari, quashing the impugned order dated 07.12.2020 (Annexure P-11), whereby the claim of the petitioners for promotion to the post of Draftsman has been rejected. Further seeking a writ of mandamus, directing the respondents to consider and promote the petitioners as Draftsman w.e.f. 12.11.2018, 12.11.2018 and 24.01.2019, respectively, i.e. on completion of 05 years of service as Junior Draftsman against the existing vacancies of the post of Draftsman and grant all consequential benefits including arrears of pay, increments, seniority etc. along with interest @ 18% per annum.



CWP-7187-2021

-2-

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that since during the pendency of the present petition, petitioner No.1 has been promoted to the post of Draftsman w.e.f. 12.11.2018 notionally and further to the post of Head Draftsman w.e.f. 13.11.2023 notionally and similarly, petitioner No.3 has been promoted to the post of Draftsman w.e.f. 23.01.2019 notionally and further to the post of Head Draftsman w.e.f. 24.01.2024 notionally, therefore, the instant petition qua them has been rendered infructuous.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that petitioner No.2 has also submitted representation dated 31.08.2024 seeking the same benefits as have been granted to petitioners No.1 & 3 and the same may be ordered to be considered and disposed of by the respondents in a time bound manner. Copy of the said representation has been handed over to learned counsel for respondents No.2 & 3 in the Court.

4. Learned counsel for respondents No.2 & 3 submits that final decision on the said representation shall be taken by respondents No.2 & 3 within a period of 03 months from today.

5. Disposed of in the above terms.

25.09.2024

kothiyal

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No