

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-2154-2024
DECIDED ON: 07.03.2024

POOJA AND ANOTHER
.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Ms. Divya Narula, Advocate
for the petitioners appeared through VC.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

1. A request has been received from the counsel for the petitioner to appear in the present case through Video Conferencing.
2. Prayer is acceded to.
3. This is a petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a direction to respondents No.2 & 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners by providing adequate protection to the petitioners and also directing the respondent No.4 not to interfere in the peaceful married life of the petitioners.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the parties have amicably settled the matter with respondent No.4 namely Hans Raj, who is father of the petitioner No.1, and, therefore prays for withdrawal of the present petition.
5. At the same time, Mr. Baljinder Singh Virk, Sr. DAG Haryana has already been enquired into the matter and on instructions from ASI Mamta submits that the petitioner No.1 has given a statement on 06.03.2024 to the effect that she

has not filed any petition alleging threat to her life and liberty against anyone. A copy of the said statement has been placed on record as Document 'A'.

- 6. Prayer of the counsel for the petitioner is accepted.
- 7. Petition stands dismissed as withdrawn.

07.03.2024

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)

JUDGE

Meenu

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>