

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

114

CWP-5942-2024
Date of decision: 14.03.2024

SUNDERPAL SINCE DECEASED THROUGH HIS LRS.

...Petitioners

VERSUS

COMMISSIONER, MUNICIPAL CORPORATION, CHANDIGARH AND
OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present: Mr. Shivam Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sanjiv Ghai, Advocate
for respondents.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that at this stage, he would be satisfied if the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the Chairman-cum-Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Chandigarh-respondent No.3 to take a decision on the execution application dated 12.07.2023 (Annexure P-6) filed on behalf of the petitioners.

(2) Learned counsel for the respondents, who appears on advance notice, has no objection if the above alternate prayer made on behalf of the petitioners is accepted.

(3) Accordingly, at this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the Chairman-cum-Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Chandigarh- respondent No.3 to take a

decision on the aforesaid execution application filed on behalf of the petitioners, by passing speaking order(s) and after affording opportunity of hearing to the petitioners, preferably within 15 days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

(4) Needless to add that the decision so taken be communicated to the petitioners and if the same is not to the satisfaction of the petitioner, they would be at liberty to challenge the same, in accordance with law.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

March 14, 2024
Nisha Yadav

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No