

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

214

CRM-M-12060-2024

Date of decision: 20.03.2024

Amarjeet

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Vikrant Rana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Trishanjali Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of bail under Section 439 of the Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.457 dated 10.09.2023 under Sections 323, 379-A, 506, 34 of the IPC (challan presented under Sections 379B, 506, 34 of the IPC) registered at Police Station City Sohana, District Gurugram.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that the petitioner who has been in custody since 09.12.2023 has been falsely implicated in the instant case which is further evident from the fact that even the complainant vide compromise/affidavit annexed as Annexure P-2 dated 23.02.2024 has compromised the matter with the petitioner. It has been further submitted that in the circumstances, further incarceration of the petitioner would serve no useful purpose as now not only challan stands presented but even charges stand framed.

3. Per contra, learned State counsel while opposing the prayer

made by learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions, has not disputed that the investigation is complete and charges stand framed. It has also not been disputed by the learned State counsel on instructions that the petitioner is not involved in any other criminal case. However, she submits that she has no instructions about the compromise stated to have been effected between the parties.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material placed on record.

5. The petitioner has been in custody since 09.12.2023 for allegedly snatching Rs.10,000/- from the complainant while he was standing outside a school building. Concededly the petitioner is not involved in any other criminal case. In the facts and circumstances as enumerated hereinabove, this Court deems it fit to extend the concession of bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail on his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned. However, it is made clear that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

20.03.2024

Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No