



211

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-8469-2000 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 10.12.2024

THEIN DAM WORKERS UNION Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : None for the petitioner.

Mr. G.S. Bhullar, AAG, Punjab assisted by
Mr. Ankush Chaudhary, S.D.O.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondents to implement Award dated 08.05.1998 (Annexure P1).
2. Mr. G.S. Bhullar, AAG, Punjab pointing out para 4 of reply submits that grievance of the petitioner stands redressed. Para 4 of reply is reproduced as below:

“Para: 4 Admitted to the extent that Chief Engineer/RSDC, letter dated, 14.5.96 was issued inadvertently by then CE/RSDC without taking into consideration chapter 26 minutely. In chapter-26, against each category specific qualifications, knowledge of trade concerned and experience have been mentioned. More than this chapter-26 also specifies the %age of direct recruitment of different categories & promotion from lower rank i.e. Helpers/Technicians. It is pertinent to mention that



para 2 of the CE/RSDC letter of 14.5.96 was subsequently amended vide CE/RSDC letter No. 6162-63/6RSDA/96, dated 24.2.99 (Annexure R-1 & R-2) in which it was specifically mentioned that workmen skilled & semi skilled working in the trade concerned drawing pay in the scale of 950-1800 with initial start of Rs.1000/- and who have been designated as junior Technicians by XEN/Field with 5 years experience including that in semi-Govt./Govt. as on 1.1.86 or from date of completion of their 5 year service on RSDC project shall also be placed in the scale of Rs. 1200-2100 as Technician Grade. III at par with ITI qualified trade workmen in their respective trades subject to the condition laid down by 3rd pay commission under para 26.6 of Chapter-26 as notified by Punjab Govt. vide letter No. 4/19/88-4-G/16827, dated 26/10/88 Annexure R-3. Accordingly seniority of all workmen according to categories/designation have been prepared for implementation of Chapter-26 and they were given/are being given higher pay scale according to %age prescribed in the chapter-26 against each category.”

- 3. There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. As per State counsel grievance of the petitioner stands redressed.
- 4. In the aforesaid backdrop, the present petition stands disposed of.
- 5. Pending misc. application (s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

10.12.2024
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No