

110

2024:PHHC:034010

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-12417-2024

Date of Decision: March 11, 2024

AMANPREET CHEEMA

.....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Sukhwinder Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (ORAL)

1. By way of present petition filed under Section 482 CrPC, prayer has been made for issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 3 to register FIR against respondents No.4 to 7 with a further prayer to hand over the investigation of the above said case to an independent agency.
2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that instead of pressing the present petition on merits, his client would be satisfied in case direction is issued to the respondents No.1 to 3 to decide the representation (Annexure P-4) moved at the instance of petitioner by passing a speaking order expeditiously.
3. Prayer seems to be justified.
4. Upon advance notice, Mr. Athar Ahmed, DAG, Punjab appears on behalf of respondents and raises no objection to the innocuous prayer made on behalf of the petitioner.

5. In view of the agreed stand taken by both sides, but without going into the merits of the controversy, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Mohali to look into the representation (Annexure P-4) and take appropriate action in accordance with law by passing a speaking order preferably within a period of 04 (four) weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. However, while considering the representation (Annexure P-4), respondent No.2 shall also take into account Annexures P-2 and P-3 as well.

6. The aforesaid direction shall not be treated as an expression of opinion on the merits of the averments made in the representation.

11.03.2024
Tejwinder

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>

