

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

204

CRM-M-12274-2024
Date of Decision:19.04.2024

RANBIR SINGH @ RANA

.....Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Vinod K. Kaushal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab

DEEPAK GUPTA, J.(ORAL)

Status report by way of affidavit of Shri Varinder Singh Khosa, PPS, Assistant Commissioner of Police, North, Amritsar has been filed on behalf of respondent-State and the same is taken on record.

On 11.03.2024, following order was passed:

“Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C in case FIR No.0227 dated 30.08.2019 registered under Sections 323, 324, 148, 149, 506 of the IPC (Sections 325, 379, 201 of the IPC added later on) registered at Police Station Sadar Amritsar, District Police Commissionerate Amritsar, Amritsar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the only role attributed to the petitioner was to have inflicted baseball bat blow on the left eye of the complainant. Learned counsel further contends that matter has since been compromised amongst the parties, copy of which is Annexure P-2. Even a separate petition bearing CRM-M-7384-2024 has already been filed before this Court for quashing of the FIR on the basis of compromise and in that petition, notice has already been issued vide order dated 13.02.2024 (Annexure P-3) and parties have been asked to appear before the Trial Court concerned for getting the statements recorded.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of

respondent- State. A copy of paper book be supplied to him during the course of day.

Adjourned to 19.04.2024.

In the meantime, in case of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. However, it is directed that the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when so required by the Investigating Officer. He shall not contact any person associated with the case to dissuade him from the investigation in any manner whatsoever and nor shall leave the country without prior permission of the Court. He shall further comply with the conditions stipulated in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. ”

Today learned State counsel, on instructions submits that petitioner has since joined the investigation and is not required for custodial interrogation.

Having regard to the aforesaid facts and circumstances, but without commenting anything further on the merits of the case order dated 11.03.2024 is hereby made absolute, whereby petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail.

However, the petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so by the Investigating Agency and shall abide by the conditions of Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

19.04.2024

pry	Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
	Whether Reportable	Yes/No