

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

207

CRM-M-18323-2017 (O&M)

Date of decision:-22.02.2024

Rakesh Kumar

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen Kumar Sheoran, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sant Lal Barwala, Advocate for respondent No.2.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J. (ORAL)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. for quashing of impugned order dated 27.04.2017 (Annexure P-4) passed by the Court of Vimal Kumar, ASJ, Hisar whereby the application under Section 91 of Cr.P.C., filed by respondent No.2 has been allowed.

2. On the last date, the petitioner was absent. Even today the petitioner is unrepresented.

3. Counsel for the State submits that charges have been framed on 29.11.2018 and so far 28 witnesses have been examined and trial is at fag end.

4. Perusal of the order sheet reflects that petitioner remained unrepresented on many dates of hearing. Since the trial is at fag end,

investigator must adhere to the order of trial Court, which render the present petition infructuous.

5. Given above, present petition stands disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

6. All pending applications stand disposed of.

22.02.2024
pooja saini

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

: Yes/No
: Yes/No