



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

204(2)

CRM-M-11966-2024

Date of Decision:17.05.2024

SUKHPREET SINGH @ SUKHI

.....Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Kuldip Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab
assisted by ASI Gurmail Singh.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J.(ORAL)

On 06.03.2024, following order was passed:

“By way of present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C., petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.190 dated 16.09.2023 registered under Sections 323, 427, 506, 148, 149 IPC (Section 452 IPC added later on) at Police Station Sadar Fazilka, District Fazilka.

Allegations are that the petitioner along with others trespassed the house of complainant, caused injuries to him and his wife and also damaged the windows and doors of the house of the complainant.

Attribution to the petitioner is that he was armed with a pistol with which he threatened the complainant party.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated and that though the petitioner is attributed to be armed with pistol but it was not fired at all. Learned counsel also contends that coaccused Jeet Singh has already been given the benefit of interim anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 29.02.2024 in CRM-M-10755 of 2024 (Annexure P.2).

Notice of motion.

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab, accepts the notice on behalf of the respondent- State. Copy of the petition be supplied to him during course of the day.



CRM-M-11966-2024

-2-

Adjourned to 08.04.2024 for filing status report.

To be heard along with CRM-M-10755 of 2024.

In the meantime, in case of his arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/ Investigating Officer. However, it is further directed that the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when so required by the Investigating Officer. He shall not contact any person associated with the case to dissuade him from the investigation in any manner whatsoever and nor shall leave the country without prior permission of the Court. He shall further comply with the conditions stipulated in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Today learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Gurmail Singh submits that petitioner has since joined the investigation and is not required for custodial interrogation.

Having regard to the aforesaid facts and circumstances, but without commenting anything further on the merits of the case order dated 06.03.2024 is hereby made absolute, whereby petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail.

However, the petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so by the Investigating Agency and shall abide by the conditions of Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

Disposed of.

17.05.2024

pry

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether Reportable Yes/No