

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-14030-2021 (O&M)

Date of decision: 29.10.2021

Mukesh Kumar Manga

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Ankur Bansal, Advocate
for the complainant.

(Through video conferencing)

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 22 dated 05.03.2021, registered under Sections 406 and 420 of the IPC; Section 13 of the Punjab Travel Professionals (Regulation) Act, 2014 at Police Station Sadar, Jalandhar, District Jalandhar.

On 25.03.2021, the following order was passed:

“Learned counsel for the petitioner has contended that the matter had been compromised between the parties in terms of which the petitioner had paid a sum of Rs.2 lakhs in cash to the complainant and had given two cheques in the sum of Rs.1 lakh each, out of those cheques, one cheque was encashed, whereas other got dishonoured. Though according to him, thereafter dishonoured cheque was returned to the petitioner, who had paid a sum of Rs.1 lakh to the complainant in cash. He has further contended that to show his bona fide, the

petitioner is ready to deposit a sum of Rs.1 lakh.

Notice of motion.

Mr.J.S. Ghuman, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent State.

Adjourned to 10.5.2021.

I find it a fit case to grant interim bail to the petitioner. As such, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation by contacting the Investigating Officer within seven days from today and render all sort of co-operation. The petitioner is to surrender his passport before the Investigating Officer, if he has got one, otherwise to furnish affidavit in that regard. If in the meanwhile, he is arrested, he be released on bail to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer, subject to petitioner getting a demand draft in the sum of Rs.1 lakh prepared in the name of the complainant, which he would hand over to the Investigating Officer, who in turn would give it to the complainant against valid receipt within stipulated period of seven days.”

Thereafter, on 27.08.2021, an objection was raised by learned State counsel that the petitioner has neither joined investigation nor has made any payment as volunteered by him on 25.03.2021.

Learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for the complainant submit that still the petitioner has neither joined investigation nor has made any payment in compliance with order dated 25.03.2021.

In view of the same, the present petition is dismissed.

29.10.2021

Waseem Ansari

**(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable*

*Yes/No
Yes/No*