

**299 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-12630-2024
Decided on:-02.05.2024**

Manjeet Kaur

....Petitioner..

vs.

State of Punjab

....Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Gurbir Singh Sidhu, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Siddharth Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.

HARKESH MANUJA J. (Oral)

1. By way of present petition filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, prayer has been made for grant of regular bail pending trial, in case bearing FIR No.10, dated 13.01.2024, registered under Sections 307, 353, 186, 323, 341, 148, 149, 506 IPC and Sections 21 & 29 of the NDPS Act, 1985, at Police Station City Sunam, District Sangur, wherein, the petitioner has been implicated with the allegations of having raised lalkara and called her son, namely, Panthpreet Singh, who further called his friends, namely, Harpreet Singh and Babbu to cause injuries upon the person of complainant-police officials.

2. Prayer made herein has been opposed at the instance of learned State counsel while referring to the allegations levelled against the petitioner, who obstructed the police officials while performing their duties, who were even given beatings by the son of the petitioner and his friend as

well.

3. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and gone through the paper book and find substance in the submissions made on behalf of the petitioner.

4. In the present case, as per the FIR, no injury has been attributed to the petitioner, who is a lady and is in custody for the past more than 3½ months. The investigation already stands concluded with the filing of challan and the petitioner is not involved in any other case. Moreover, son of the petitioner, namely, Panthpreet Singh against whom the allegations of having inflicted injuries upon the person of complainant are there, has been granted the concession of regular bail vide order dated 25.01.2024 passed by Principal Magistrate, Juvenile Justice Board, Sangrur (Annexure P-3).

5. Thus, considering the aforesaid facts, I do not find any reason to extend the incarceration of the petitioner any further.

6. Without commenting anything on the merits of the case, lest it may prejudice the trial, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on her furnishing adequate bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the concerned Trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

7. It is made clear that this order may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

02.05.2024
sonika

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/ No