

CWP-5501-2024

1

2024:PHHC:032810

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-5501-2024

Date of decision : 07.03.2024

Amritpal Garg

... Petitioner

Versus

Regional Transport Authority Bathinda and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr.P.S. Bawa, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms.Akshita Chauhan, DAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. This is a civil writ petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent no.1 to consider and dispose of the application of the petitioner dated 11.01.2022 (Annexure P-1) made for grant of stage carriage permit on Gehal-Barnala via Bihal, Bhilla Khurd, Chananwal, raiser, Thikriwal route.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that for the grievance raised by the petitioner, the petitioner has given a representation dated 22.11.2023 (Annexure P-3) and at this stage, would be satisfied in case the representation is considered by the competent authority of respondent no.1 in a time bound manner and if after considering the same, in case, the plea raised by the petitioner is found to be meritorious, then necessary relief be granted to the petitioner.

3.

Learned State counsel has submitted that the competent authority of respondent no.1 would consider the said representation and decide the same within a period of four weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of the present order.
4.

Keeping in view the above said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority of respondent no.1 to consider the representation dated 22.11.2023 (Annexure P-3) of the petitioner and decide the same, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of four weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of the present order and in case, after considering the same, the plea of the petitioner is found to be meritorious, then necessary relief be granted to the petitioner and in case, the plea of the petitioner is not found to be meritorious, then a speaking order rejecting the same be passed within a period of four weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of the present order.
5.

It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the competent authority of respondent no.1 would consider and decide the representation independently, in accordance with law.

(VIKAS BAHL)

JUDGE

March 07, 2024

Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No