



118

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

LPA-959-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 10.07.2024

Meena DeviAppellant

V/s

State of Haryana and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL
HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present: Mr. Rajat Mor, Advocate
for the appellant.

DEEPAK SIBAL J. (ORAL)

1. The present intra Court appeal is directed against the judgment dated 01.02.2024 passed by a learned Single Judge of this Court through which the appellant’s writ petition filed by her for upgradation of the post offered to her on compassionate basis was dismissed.
2. A few facts may be noticed.
3. The appellant’s father was serving the State of Haryana as a Veterinary Livestock Development Assistant. He died in harness on 08.06.2003. On her father’s death, the appellant claimed benefit of compassionate appointment. After considering the appellant’s claim the State offered her the post of Bull Attendant. The appellant accepted the offered post and joined as a Bull Attendant on 06.10.2003. After about 13 years of her joining i.e. on 29.05.2016, the appellant got served a legal notice on the

respondents seeking therein appointment as a Clerk instead of Bull Attendant as according to her in terms of the policy applicable in the year 2003, she was entitled to be appointed as a clerk. The State has rejected the appellant’s claim against which she filed a writ petition before this Court which has been dismissed by a learned Single Judge of this Court.

4. Learned counsel for the appellant has been heard.
5. It is not disputed that on the death of her father on 08.06.2003, the appellant was offered, on compassionate basis, appointment as a Bull Attendant which offer she accepted and joined as a Bull Attendant on 06.10.2003. It is further the admitted position that after nearly 13 years of having accepted the offered post of Bull Attendant, the appellant objected to such appointment and claimed to be appointed as a Clerk instead.
6. The inordinate delay of nearly 13 years, which remains unexplained, is enough to deny the appellant’s stale claim, especially when she seeks appointment to a higher post than to which she was appointed on compassionate basis.
7. Dismissed.
8. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

10.07.2024
Sapna

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No