



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

107+228

COCP-808-2024 (O & M)
Date of decision: 23.05.2024

AMRIK SINGH

...Petitioner

Versus

ASHOK K.K. MEENA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present:- Mr. Mukesh Garg, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sunish Bindlish, Senior Standing Counsel, FCI, and
Mr. Viney Kumar, Advocate,
for the respondents.

RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (Oral)**CM-9327-CII-2024**

1 Allowed as prayed for. Compliance report filed by way of affidavit of Om Pratap Singh, is taken on record.

CM-9551-CII-2024

1 Allowed as prayed for. Compliance report filed by way of affidavit of Ashok K.K.Meena, is taken on record.

COCP-808-2024

1. This is a contempt petition filed under Article 215 of the Constitution of India, for punishing the respondents for disobeying the order dated 08.11.2023 passed in CWP-25203-2023.

2. Counsel for the respondents submits that the order dated 08.11.2023 passed in CWP-25203-2023 has since been complied with and the request of the petitioner for appointment on compassionate basis was



COCp-808-2024

finally allowed and vide order dated 01.04.2024 (Annexure A-1), the name of the petitioner was brought on the roster of the employees to be granted appointment on compassionate basis as per policy of the respondent-Corporation. The final appointment order was directed to be issued on accrual or vacancy as per current policy of FCI (Headquarters). The aforesaid order has already been communicated to the petitioner.

- 3. Counsel for the petitioner has not even disputed the aforesaid fact.
- 4. In view of the above, the present petition is rendered infructuous and dismissed, as such.
- 5. However, if any grievance of the petitioner is left unredressed, he would be at liberty to raise the same in any other alternate remedy, but in accordance with law.

23.05.2024
parveen kumar

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No