

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(268)

CWP-8670-1997

Date of decision: - 14.02.2024

Pardeep Kumar Sharma

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen Singh Panwar, DAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Present writ petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the impugned orders dated 12.04.1997 and 19.05.1997 and for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents not to revert the petitioner.
2. Learned State counsel has submitted that the present writ petition is of the year 1997 and there is no interim in favour of the petitioner and in all probability, this writ petition has been rendered infructuous.
3. As per the report of the registry, learned counsel for the petitioner has been informed about the listing of the present case.
4. None had appeared on behalf of the petitioner on 13.02.2024 and also today to rebut the said aspect.
5. Keeping in view the above, the present writ petition is disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous, however, liberty is granted to the petitioner to move an application in case any cause survives.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

February 14, 2024
naresh.k

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No