

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

109+254 (07 cases)

**CM-4881-CWP-2023 in/&
(1)CWP-6326-2022
Date of Decision :20.03.2024**

Mukesh Kumari

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

(2) CWP-4021-2022

Reena Rani

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

(3)CWP-4788-2022

Pal Kaur and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

(4)CWP-6320-2022

Manjo Devi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

(5)CWP-10176-2022

Pooja

...Petitioner

Versus

...Respondents

(6)CWP-6336-2022

...Petitioners

Versus

...Respondents

(7)CWP-6945-2022

...Petitioner

Versus

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Shailender Mohan, Advocate for the petitioner in CWP-6320,6326 &6336-2022 and for Mr. Dinesh Kumar Jangra, Advocate, for the petitioner in CWP No.4788 of 2022 and for Mr. Mohit Rathee, Advocate, for the petitioner in CWP No.10176 of 2022 and for Mr. Deepak Kumar Gollen, Advocate, for the petitioner in CWP No.6945 of 2022.

Mr. Baljeet Beniwal, Advocate for the petitioner
in CWP-4021-2022.

Mr. Pankaj Middha, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

CM-4881-CWP-2023

As prayed for, application is allowed.

Main cases

By this common order, above mentioned seven writ petitions are being disposed of as all the writ petitions involve the same question of law on similar facts.

1. In the present petitions, grievance of the petitioners is that though, the petitioners were entitled for the grant of marks under the socio-economic criteria, which benefit was duly claimed by the petitioners while filling the initial application form but the petitioners were forced to give the undertaking to withdraw the said claim, which undertaking cannot be acted upon by the respondents hence, the respondents be directed to recalculate the merit of the petitioners by granting them marks under the socio-economic criteria.

2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that keeping in view the interim order passed by this Court in these petitions, the authorities concerned have already passed an appropriate speaking order considering the claim of the petitioners and rejected the same, copy of which speaking orders have been supplied to the learned counsel for the petitioners in the Court today.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the present petitions may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioners to file a fresh one on the same cause of action by challenging the speaking orders passed by the respondents.

4. Ordered accordingly.

5. Civil Miscellaneous application pending, if any is also disposed of.

6. Photocopy of this order be placed on the files of connected cases.

March 20, 2024
aarti

aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/Now