

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-19627-2024 (O&M)
Date of order: 08.05.2024

Rajveer Thakral & another
... Petitioner(s)
Versus
State of Haryana & another
...Respondent (s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present:- Mr. Namit Khurana, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, Sr. D.A.G., Haryana.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
109	8.2.2022	Samalkha, District Panipat	120-B, 201, 34, 409, 420, 467, 468, 471 IPC and 13 of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988.

1. Seeking quashing of FIR, captioned above, and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, the petitioners have come up before this Court by filing the present petition under Section 482 CrPC.
2. After arguing for some time, but facing stiff opposition from the State of Haryana, petitioner’s counsel submits that he be permitted to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file application(s) for discharge by taking up the points which have been raised in this petition as well as additional points which they may come across and prayed for a direction to the trial Court to answer all such points while deciding the said application(s).
3. Given the nature of facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioners are permitted to file separate application(s) for discharge and the trial Court is requested to consider every point raised in the application(s) and decide the same by passing a speaking and reasoned order, in case any such application is filed. In case the petitioner(s) are charged for any of the offences, they shall be at liberty either to

challenge the same straightaway before this Court or before the Sessions Court, as per their discretion.

4. Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of as withdrawn with the aforesaid observations above. Any other pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

May 08, 2024
AK

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No