

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-12299-2024
Date of Decision:14.03.2024

Rakesh Kumar ...Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana ...Respondent

Coram : Hon’ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Akshay Kumar Dahiya, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Sheenu Sura, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 439 Cr.PC with a prayer to grant regular bail to him in case FIR No. 746 dated 25.09.2020, registered under Sections 419,420,467,468,469,471 and 120-B of IPC, Police Station Panipat City, District Panipat.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the similarly placed co-accused Rishipal has already been granted the concession of bail by this Court vide order dated 10.01.2022 (Annexure P-2). He further contends that the four other similarly placed co-accused namely Raghuvir, Reena, Manisha and Maha Singh have already been granted the concession of bail by the Trial Court. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that a civil dispute has been given the colour of a criminal offence. Learned counsel further contends that in the present case, the petitioner was ordered to be arrested on 10.11.2023 and the challan has already been presented against him. He further

contends that all the offences in the present case are triable by the Court of Magistrate and the conclusion of the trial may take quite a long considerable time.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that the three more cases were ordered to be registered against the present petitioner.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record carefully.

5. It is not in dispute that that similarly placed co-accused namely Raghuvir, Reena, Manisha and Maha Singh have already been ordered to be released on bail. Moreover, the petitioner is in custody since 10.11.2023 and the final report under Section 173 Cr. P.C has already been presented against him.

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on bail pending trial on his furnishing bail bonds and surety to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate.

14.03.2024
hitesh

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No