

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

213

CWP-659-1998 (O&M)
Decided on :07.03.2024

Sunita Rani
Versus
State of Punjab and others
... Petitioner
... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL
PRESENT: None for the petitioner.
Ms. Neha Sonawane, DAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. Present Civil Writ Petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, certiorari or any other suitable writ, order or direction directing the respondents to regularize the services of the petitioner on the post of bill clerk.
2. Learned State counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents has submitted that the present matter pertains to the year 1998 and the termination of the petitioner's services was stayed and in all likelihood, the petitioner has retired from the service and nothing survives in the matter and thus, the present petition, in all probability, has been rendered infructuous.
3. None has appeared on behalf of the petitioner to rebut the said aspect.
4. In view of the above, the present writ petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous. Liberty is granted to the petitioner to move an application for revival of the same in case, any cause survives.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

07.03.2024

Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/~~No~~
~~Yes~~/No