



265

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH****CRM-M-13553-2024**

Date of Decision: 09.09.2024

Ajay and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURBIR SINGH**Present:** Mr. Raghav Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Gurmeet Singh, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Kashish Sahni, Advocate for respondent Nos.2 and 3.

GURBIR SINGH, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No.601 dated 05.10.2023 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 148/149/323/324/452/506/326 of IPC, 1860 registered at Police Station Krishna Gate, District Kurukshetra (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings on the basis of compromise dated 12.02.2024 (Annexure P-2).

2. This Court, vide order dated 19.07.2024 had directed the parties to appear before the trial Court/Duty Magistrate to get their statements recorded and the learned Magistrate was directed to send the report qua the genuineness of the compromise.

3. In compliance thereof, report dated 23.08.2024 from learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Kurukshetra has been received through the District & Sessions Judge, Kurukshetra with statements of the parties, in which, it has been mentioned that the compromise is genuine and has been effected between the parties voluntarily, without any coercion or undue influence.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent Nos.2 and 3 complainant has not disputed the factum of compromise.

5. Learned State counsel on instructions from SI Satyawar submits that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, the State would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR.

6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record.

7. In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, a compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak.

8. Following the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Versus State of Punjab and another 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052* and approved by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, this petition is allowed and FIR No.601 dated 05.10.2023 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 148/149/323/324/452/506/326 of IPC, 1860 registered at Police Station Krishna Gate, District Kurukshetra and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are quashed qua the petitioners on the basis of compromise dated 12.02.2024 (Annexure P-2).

09.09.2024
Parveen kumar

(GURBIR SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No
Whether reportable :Yes/No