

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

206

CRM-M-12097-2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 08.11.2024

PUNAM SHARMA

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN


Present:- None for the petitioner.

Ms. Sakshi Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Varlin Garg, Advocate
for the complainant.

HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN, J.

1. Perusal of the paper-book reflects that as per the order dated 07.03.2024 passed by this Court, the petitioner was directed to join the investigation and she was granted interim bail.
2. On 09.05.2024, learned State counsel had informed that though the petitioner had joined the investigation, however, recovery was yet to be effected. The parties were referred to the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court, subject to payment of costs of litigation of Rs.30,000/- by way of demand draft in favour of the complainant, which was to be deposited by the petitioner in the Registry of this Court.
3. Vide order dated 30.09.2024, it was noticed that the petitioner had



paid only Rs.10,000/- as costs of litigation and had not complied with the order dated 09.05.2024 passed by this Court. As such, the interim order dated 07.03.2024 was vacated.

- 4. On 16.10.2024 and 25.10.2024, the matter was adjourned on request of the parties.
- 5. Today, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.
- 6. As per the office report, no litigation expenses by way of demand draft has been deposited by the petitioner in the Registry of this Court.
- 7. In view of the above, the petition stands dismissed qua non-compliance of the order dated 09.05.2024 passed by this Court for depositing the entire litigation expenses.
- 8. All pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

(HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN)
JUDGE

08.11.2024

P.Bhatt	Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
	Whether reportable	Yes/No