

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.11834 of 2024 (O&M)
Date of decision:25.04.2024**

Taj Mohammad

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: None for the petitioner.

Ms. Manjot Kaur, AAG, Punjab for the respondent.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (*for short 'Cr.P.C.'*) for quashing of impugned order dated 17.10.2023 (P-3), passed by learned Judge, Special Court, Rupnagar, in FIR No.98 dated 31.08.2018, under Sections 390 (*sic* - 399) & 402 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959, Police Station Kiratpur Sahib, District Rupnagar, vide which bail granted to the petitioner was cancelled and notice under Section 446 Cr.P.C. has been issued to the surety.

(2) On 06.03.2024, this Court passed the following order:-

“Present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing the impugned order dated 17.10.2023, whereby bail bonds/surety bonds of the petitioner were cancelled and forfeited to the State.

After hearing learned Counsel for the petitioner, when this Court was not inclined to entertain the present petition, learned Counsel for the petitioner on instructions submits that petitioner shall surrender before the Court of competent jurisdiction within one week from today.

Posted for 21.03.2024.”

- (3) Today, no one is appearing on behalf of the petitioner.
- (4) However, learned State Counsel on instructions has apprised the Court that despite the order dated 06.03.2024 (*supra*), petitioner has not joined the proceedings. In support of his contention, he has produced copy of order dated 22.04.2024. The same is taken on record as Mark ‘X’.
- (5) In view of the above, it is apparently clear that petitioner has no respect for the judicial orders; thus, does not deserve any relief under Section 482 Cr.P.C.
- (6) Consequently, there is no option except to dismiss the petition.
- (7) Ordered accordingly.
- (8) The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on merits of the present case in any manner.
- (9) Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

25th April, 2024
Gagan

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No